

Report on the MSc Thesis

Candidate's Name:

Title:



Traditio et Innovatio



SYSTEMS BIOLOGY BIOINFORMATICS ROSTOCK

Department of Systems Biology & Bioinformatics

Faculty of Computer Sciences & Electrical Engineering

Postal address:

University of Rostock Institute of Computer Science 18051 Rostock, Germany

Physical address:

Ulmenstraße 69 Room 406, Bldg. 3, 3rd Floor 18057 Rostock

Tel +49 (0)381 498 75 71 Fax +49 (0)381 498 75 72

www.sbi.uni-rostock.de

The following list of criteria serves as **guide** to the marking, not all individual points need to be marked but at least each section should receive one. The aim of this form is to improve trans-

Practical Aspects and Soft Skills

parency, comparability and fairness.

| Background understanding, ability to learn | |
|--|--|
| Literature search | |
| Exploratory work/planning/organisation | |
| Showing initiative and independence | |
| Total mark | |

Matrikel-Nr.:

| Difficulty of the project | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|--|
| Embracing new ideas, originality | | |
| Integrity of work, meeting the aims | | |
| Practical aspects | | |
| | Total mark | |

Analysis of Results, Conclusions

| Appropriate methods | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Thoroughness of analysis | |
| Clarity and accuracy of analysis | |
| Adequate acknowledgement of sources | |
| Total mark | |

Clarity of Text and Language

| Grammar, spelling, casual mistakes | |
|--|--|
| Clarity of expression in linguistic structures | |
| Ease of understanding | |
| Total mark | |

Organization of Report

| Clearly expressed aim, objectives/motivation | |
|--|--|
| Appropriate partitioning and structure | |
| Line of argument, linking sections/chapters | |
| References & citations | |
| Total mark | |

Diagrams, Figures and Tables

| Legibility, visual clarity of information | |
|---|--|
| Clarity of caption texts | |
| Labeling, numbering, references in text | |
| Total mark | |

Overall Mark:

Examiner:

Signature:

Please turn page for marking scheme and space for additional remarks.

| Mark | Percent | Explanation |
|-------------|---------|---|
| 1 | 90-100 | 100% would correspond to work, in that aspect, that reaches the highest standards that could be expected of a professional scientist with experience. Contains all of the relevant information with no errors or only insignificant errors. Displays excellent understanding of the subject within a wider context. Gives extensive evidence of critical awareness and independent thinking. |
| 1.3 | 80-89 | 80% corresponds to work that is, in that aspect, mainly of professional standard, but has few shortfalls. Displays good understanding of the subject within a wider context. Has reached beyond the essential material. |
| 1.7 | 70-79 | |
| 2.0-2.3-2.7 | 60-69 | 60% corresponds to work which has, in that aspect, both strong and weak features with the stronger features being in the majority. Less evidence for critical awareness and independent thinking. |
| 3.0-3.3-3.7 | 50-59 | |
| 4.0 | 40-49 | 40% corresponds to work that is marginally satisfactory in that aspect. There are several failings, but there are also some achievements and positive features. Little evidence of critical awareness and independent thinking. Lack of evidence for a deeper understanding of the subject within a wider context. |

Additional remarks: