A principal limitation of virtual cell simulations using differential equation models



Motivation

The idea of building a virtual cell, i.e. the simulation of a comprehensive model of a biological cell, makes the implicit assumption that cells can be simulated.

However, the machine view of a cell or any natural system does not capture essential properties like selforganisation, which leads to certain formal requirements for virtual cell computations.

 Ψ is now indeed unentailed. To avoid infinite regress, we would need to show that Ψ is entailed from within the system. The main idea here is that closure to efficient causation means that any element of a collection of things is also an image of some map.

Functional organization

Our conceptual framework now encompasses a *multi*-

Sketch of Proof.

 Ψ was introduced as a morphism that selects a cell function for each cellular process:

 $\Psi: H(\Gamma, \Omega) \qquad \Sigma, \quad \sigma \mapsto \psi.$

For any object Σ and map $\tilde{\sigma}$: $\Sigma \Omega$ Γ there is a unique map $\lceil \sigma \rceil$: Σ $H(\Omega, \Gamma)$ and the evaluation map $e: H(\Omega, \Gamma \Omega) \quad \Gamma \text{ for which } e \circ [\sigma], \text{id}_{\Omega} = \tilde{\sigma}. \text{ Note that } \sigma \text{ is a}$ basic cellular process while $\tilde{\sigma}$ describes a cellular process

A formal model of the cell

Cells generate responses to stimuli. The series of processes and reactions involved is usually called *pathway*. More abstractly, it corresponds to a morphism (map):

> σ : Ω $\omega \mapsto \gamma = \sigma(\omega)$

U is a set of stimuli $\Omega = \{\omega: I \}$ $\Gamma = \{\gamma : I \ Y\}$ is a set of responses

where $I = \{t: t \ o\}$ is a time set and U and Y are arbitrary sets (signal value spaces).

Basic cellular processes, modeled by σ , depend on the state of the system and realize *cell functions*.

> $H(\Gamma, \Omega), \quad \gamma \mapsto \psi(\gamma) = \sigma$ ψ : Γ

 $H(\Gamma, \Omega)$ is the set of all biologically meaningful processes the cell can realize and thus a subset of Γ^{Ω} , i.e. the set of all mophisms from Ω to Γ .

Causal entailment

Since each morphism σ associates each stimulus ω with



At this point, the map Ψ is still hypothetical. To show that in our abstract model it can be realized from within the system as a self-organizing process, we need to show that it is an image in some codomain within the formal system.

The mathematical framework

A *category* in the mathematical sense is the notion of abstract structures and structure-preserving operations. It

taking place in a context. This results in the following commutative diagram:

Now the retraction of σ , i.e. the map $\sigma : H(\Omega, \Gamma) \Sigma$ for which $\sigma \circ \sigma = id_{\Sigma}$, ensured that all maps ψ are entailed by at least one σ $H(\Omega,\Gamma)$. Thus, it can take the role of the coordination map Ψ , which adds up to the following model of a cell governed by a self-organizing principle.



a response γ , the question "why γ " can be answered "because ω " or "because σ ".

Aristotlean analysis makes a distinction between four different fashions of causality:

Material cause: raw matter of which something is made *Formal cause*: idea after which something is formed *Efficient cause*: external entity/force, source of change goal for which something exists Final cause:

In this case, ω is the material and σ the efficient cause for γ . The efficient cause for σ is provided by the cell function map ψ .

 $\Omega \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow H(\Omega, \Gamma)$

Robert Rosen (1991) argued that there can be no "closed" path to efficient causation" in a mechanism (in the technological sense). The mapping ψ in our abstract cell model is now indeed unentailed (with respect to efficient causation). One could now introduce a coordination map Ψ

> Ψ: $H(\Gamma, \Omega)$ $H(\Gamma, H(\Gamma, \Omega)),$

which leads to the following graph of causal entailment.

consists of a class of objects, a class of morphisms between the objects and a composition operation.

A category is called *cartesian closed* if there exists the product Σ Ω of any two objects Ω , Σ and an exponential Γ^{Ω} of any two objects Γ , Ω within the category. Then, a morphism acting on a product can be identified with a morphism acting on one of the factors,

$$\frac{\Sigma \times \Omega \xrightarrow{\tilde{\sigma}} \Gamma}{\Sigma \xrightarrow{\neg \sigma} H(\Omega, \Gamma)} \downarrow^{\tilde{\sigma}}$$

where $\Sigma \quad (\Gamma^{\Omega})^{\Gamma}$.

There is an *evaluation function* $e: \Gamma^{\Omega} \Omega = \Gamma$ such that for each $\tilde{\sigma}$ there is a unique $\sigma : \Sigma$ Γ^{Ω} fulfilling $e \circ [\sigma], id_{\Omega} = \tilde{\sigma}$ (see also Lawvere & Rosebrugh, 2003).

Cartesian closed categories comprise morphisms that act on morphisms, which in necessary to model intracellular processes that act on other processes. **Proposition.**

A model of a living cell, closed to efficient causation, corresponds to a cartesian closed category, denoted Cell. To ensure closure to efficient causation it is sufficient that the parametrization $\lceil \sigma \rceil$ of basic cellular processes in the exponential object Γ^{Ω} has a retraction.

We have established a general abstract formal model of a cell that exists within a cartesian closed category and shares the property of closure to efficient causation with living system. The mathematical structure of Ω , Γ , or the state space in a state-space representation of dynamic systems determines whether a category is cartesian closed. The basis for nonlinear dynamic systems, encoded by differential equations, are manifolds and topological spaces, which are *not* cartesian closed.

Computer simulations based on differential equations, although able to mimic a cellular process, can therefore not capture self-organization of cell function, which is a, if not the, fundamental property of living systems.

References

Lawvere, F., Rosebrugh, R., 2003. Sets for Mathematics. Cambridge University Press.

Rosen, R., 1991. Life Itself. Columbia University Press.

Wolkenhauer, O., Hofmeyr, J.-H., 2006. An Abstract Cell Model that describes the Self-Organization of Cell Function in Living Systems. submitted to the Journal of Theoretical Biology.

Acknowledgements



Theorem.

Given the mathematical model **Cell** of a living (natural) cell, the coordination of cell functions Ψ is entailed from within the cell.

Arne Bittig's position is funded by AMPKIN, a project part of the EU's sixth framework programme (www.gmm.gu.se/AMPKIN/). Poster and conference participation were sponsored by *dIEM oSiRiS*, a post graduate programme funded by the *Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft* (DFG).



Olaf Wolkenhauer¹, Arne Bittig¹ and Jan-Hendrik S. Hofmeyr²

1 Systems Biology and Bioinformatics Group, University of Rostock, Germany www.sbi.uni-rostock.de

2 Department of Biochemistry, Stellenbosch University, South Africa